## **REMARKS**

In the Official Action mailed on **06 January 2009**, Examiner reviewed claims 1, 11, 30, 32 and 56-84. Examiner rejected claims 75, 77-81, and 83-84 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Hendel (U.S. Pub.No. 2004/0120332, hereinafter "Hendel"), and Pekkala (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0085493, hereinafter "Pekkala"). Examiner allowed claims 1, 11, 30, 32, 56, 67, and 72. Examiner objected to claim 76 as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but indicated the claim would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including the limitations of the base claim.

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

Examiner objected to claim 76 as being dependent upon a rejected claim, but indicated the claim would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Applicant has amended claim 75 (the base claim) to include the limitations of claim 76. Applicant has cancelled claim 76 without prejudice. No new matter was added.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, 30, 32, 56, 67, 72, and 75 as presently amended are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that dependent claims which depend upon these independent claims are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

## **CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that the application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By /Anthony Jones/ Anthony Jones Registration No. 59,521

Date: 6 April 2009

Anthony Jones Park, Vaughan & Fleming LLP 2820 Fifth Street Davis, CA 95618-7759 Tel: (530) 759-1666

Fax: (530) 759-1665

Email: tony@parklegal.com